## **World Studies Assessment Tool**

	Level 5-6	Level 3-4	Level 1-2	Level 0
Criterion A: Focus & Method World Studies:  The research question should appropriately connect the global issue to the local manifestation.  Early in the essay, students should:  • explain or justify their research question  • identify the IB academic disciplines and appropriate key concepts they are going to use  • explain why the research question requires an interdisciplinary approach and indicate the benefits of an integrative approach  • highlight the materials, sources, data and evidence from the two subjects they will be using, with some explanation of why they have been chosen.	The topic is communicated accurately and effectively.  Identification and explanation of the research topic of global significance is effectively communicated; the purpose and focus of the research is clear and appropriately examined through one or more local manifestations.  The research question is clearly stated and focused.  The research question is clear and addresses an issue of research that is appropriately connected to the discussion in the essay. The significance and importance of the global issue must be established in the introduction (this may be longer than in other subjects)  Methodology of the research is complete.  An appropriate range of relevant source(s) and/or method(s) have been applied in relation to the topic and research question. There is evidence of effective and informed selection of sources and/methods. Primary sources: works of art, film, music, interviews, self-generated survey data, reports of experiments.	Identification and explanation of the research topic is communicated; the purpose and focus of the research is adequately clear, but only partially appropriate.  The research question is clearly stated but only partially focused.  The research question is clear but the discussion in the essay is only partially focused and connected to the research question.  Methodology of the research is mostly complete.  Source(s) and/or method(s) to be used are generally relevant and appropriate given the topic and research question.  There is some evidence that their selection(s) was informed.  If the topic or research question is deemed inappropriate for the subject in which the essay is registered no more than four marks can be awarded for this criterion.  This applies to WSEE essays where the issue is not contemporary. ("Contemporary" is defined here as an issue that is relevant during the student's lifetime.)	The topic is communicated unclearly and incompletely.  • Identification and explanation of the topic is limited; the purpose and focus of the research is unclear, or does not lend itself to a systematic investigation in the subject for which it is registered.  The research question is stated but not clearly expressed or too broad.  • The research question is too broad in scope to be treated effectively within the word limit and requirements of the task, or does not lend itself to a systematic investigation in the subject for which it is registered.  • The intent of the research question is understood but has not been clearly expressed and/or the discussion of the essay is not focused on the research question.  Methodology of the research is limited.  • The source(s) and/or method(s) to be used are limited in range given the topic and research question.•There is limited evidence that their selection was informed.	The work does not reach a standard outlined by the descript ors below.

	Level 5-6	Level 3-4	Level 1-2	Level 0
Criterion B: Knowledge and understanding World Studies: Students should select concepts, theories, perspectives, findings or examples from two Diploma Programme subjects. They need to demonstrate a sound grasp of: • the knowledge bases of the different subjects • modes of understanding of the different subjects • methods of communication of the different subjects.	Knowledge and understanding is excellent.  The selection of source materials is clearly relevant and appropriate to the research question.  Knowledge of the topic/discipline(s)/issue is clear and coherent and sources are used effectively and with understanding.  Use of terminology and concepts is good.  The use of subject-specific terminology and concepts is accurate and consistent, demonstrating effective knowledge and understanding.  Explicit awareness of the strengths and limitations of the individual subject concepts or ideas.	<ul> <li>Knowledge and understanding is good.</li> <li>The selection of source material is mostly relevant and appropriate to the research question.</li> <li>Knowledge of the topic/discipline(s)/issue is clear; there is an understanding of the sources used but their application is only partially effective.</li> <li>Use of terminology and concepts is adequate.</li> <li>The use of subject-specific terminology and concepts is mostly accurate, demonstrating an appropriate level of knowledge and understanding.</li> <li>Explicit awareness of the strengths and limitations of the individual subject concepts or ideas.</li> <li>The award of achievement levels of 2 or above requires evidence that two subjects have been used in the essay.</li> <li>If the topic or research question is deemed inappropriate for the subject in which the essay is registered no more than four marks can be awarded for this criterion.</li> </ul>	Knowledge and understanding is limited.     The selection of source material has limited relevance and is only partially appropriate to the research question.     Knowledge of the topic/discipline(s)/issue is anecdotal, unstructured and mostly descriptive with sources not effectively being used.  Use of terminology and concepts is unclear and limited.      Subject-specific terminology and/or concepts are either missing or inaccurate, demonstrating limited knowledge and understanding.     Fewer than 2 subjects have been used	The work does not reach a standard outlined by the descript ors below.

	Level 10-12	Level 7-9	Level 4-6	Level 1-3	Level 0
Criterion C: Critical Thinking World Studies Research can incorporate the methodologies of the two subjects chosen, such as: • experimental laboratory work • library and online research • generation of primary data through questionnaires • or many others. Research should be undertaken with the same integrity as within individual subjects. It must be relevant to the research question. Students should address the value and limitations of research materials.	The research is excellent.  The research question and its application is consistently relevant.  Analysis is excellent.  The research is analysed effectively and clearly focused on the research question; the inclusion of less relevant research does not significantly detract from the quality of the overall analysis.  Conclusions to individual points of analysis are effectively supported by the evidence.  Discussion/evaluation is excellent.  An effective and focused reasoned integrative argument or explanation is developed from the research with a conclusion reflective of the evidence presented: different subjects should be coherently brought together to address the question through: Complex causal explanation, Metaphor, Model, Analogy, etc.  This reasoned argument is well structured and coherent; any minor inconsistencies do not hinder the strength of the overall argument or the finalor summative conclusion.  The research has been critically evaluated.  At the highest level, students should demonstrate:  effective and nuanced analysis and evaluation of information and findings evaluation of the success and limitations of their own integrative approach to the issue	The research is good.  The majority of the research is appropriate and its application is clearly relevant to the research question.  Analysis is good.  The research is analysed in a way that is clearly relevant to the research question; the inclusion of less relevant research rarely detracts from the quality of the overall analysis.  Conclusions to individual points of analysis are supported by the evidence but there are some minor inconsistencies.  Discussion/evaluation is good.  An effective reasoned argument is developed from the research, with a conclusion supported by the evidence presented.  This reasoned argument is clearly structured and coherent and supported by afinal or summative conclusion; minor inconsistencies may hinder the strength of the overall argument.  The research has been evaluated, and this is partially critical.	The research is adequate.  Some research presented is appropriate and its application is partially relevant to the Research question.  Analysis is adequate.  There is analysis but this is only partially relevant to the research question; the inclusion of irrelevant research detracts from the quality of the argument.  Any conclusions to individual points of analysis are only partially supported by the evidence.  Discussion/evaluation is adequate.  An argument explains the research but the reasoning contains inconsistencies.  The argument may lack clarity and coherence but this does not significantly hinder understanding.  Where there is a final or summative conclusion, this is only partially consistent with the arguments/evidence presented.  The research has been evaluated but not critically.	The research is limited.  The research presented is limited and its application is not clearly relevant to the RQ.  Analysis is limited.  There is limited analysis. Where there are conclusions to individual points of analysis these are limited and not consistent with the evidence.  Discussion/evaluation is limited.  An argument is outlined but this is limited, incomplete, descriptive or narrative in nature. The construction of an argument is unclear and/or incoherent in structure hindering understanding. Where there is a final conclusion, it is limited and not consistent with the arguments/evidence presented. There is an attempt to evaluate the research, but this is superficial.  If the topic or research question is deemed inappropriate for the subject in which the essay is registered no more than three marks can be awarded for this criterion.	The work does not reach a stand rd outlin d by the descriptors below

In a world studies EE there is an element of risk: it may be that evaluation of the findings of a two-subject approach leads to new and original conclusions, or that conclusions are uncertain, or that it is not possible to make conclusions. Failure to integrate the two subjects' analyses into the conclusion or to reach a firm conclusion will not prevent the award of high marks: no news is still news so long as it is true to the research question. Indeed, such outcomes can be used to review opportunities for further research and research lessons learned.

	Level 5-6	Level 3-4	Level 1-2	Level 0
Criterion D: Presentation  This criterion assesses the extent to which the presentation follows the standard format expected for academic writing and the extent to which this aids effective communication.  Any charts, images or tables from literature sources included in the essay must be carefully selected and labelled. They should only be used if they:  • are directly relevant to the research question • contribute towards the understanding of the argument • are of a good graphic quality.		Presentation is good.  The structure of the essay clearly is appropriate in terms of the expected conventions for the topic, the argument and subject in which the essay is registered.  Layout considerations are present and applied correctly.  The structure and layout support the reading, understanding and evaluation of the extended essay.	Presentation is acceptable.  The structure of the essay is generally appropriate in terms of the expected conventions for the topic, argument and subject in which the essay is registered. Some layout considerations may be missing or applied incorrectly. Weaknesses in the structure and/or layout do not significantly impact the reading, understanding or evaluation of the extended essay.	The work does not reach a standard outlined by the descript ors below.
Criterion E: Engagement  This criterion assesses the student's engagement with their research focus and the research process.  It Will be applied by the examiner at the end of the assessment of the essay, after considering the student's Reflections on planning and progress form.	Reflections on decision-making and planning are evaluative and include reference to the student's capacity to consider actions and ideas in response to setbacks experienced in the research process.      These reflections communicate a high degree of intellectual and personal engagement with the research focus and process of research, demonstrating authenticity, intellectual initiative and/or creative approach in the student voice.	Reflections on decision-making and planning are analytical and include reference to conceptual understanding and skill development.     These reflections communicate a moderate degree of personal engagement with the research focus and process of research, demonstrating some intellectual initiative.	planning are mostly descriptive.  These reflections communicate a limited degree of personal engagement with the research focus and/or research process.	

Grade A	Grade B	Grade C	Grade D	Grade E
Demonstrates effective research skills resulting in a well-focused and appropriate research question that can be explored within the scope of the chosen topic; effective engagement with relevant research areas, methods and sources; excellent knowledge and understanding of the topic in the wider context of the relevant discipline; the effective application of source material and correct use of subject-specific terminology and/or concepts further supporting this; consistent and relevant conclusions that are proficient analysed; sustained reasoned argumentation supported effectively by evidence; critically evaluate research; excellent presentation of the essay, whereby coherence and consistency further supports the reading of the essay; and present and correctly applied structural and layout elements.  Engagement with the process is conceptual and personal, key decision-making during the research process is documented, and personal reflections are evidenced, including those that are forward-thinking.	Demonstrates appropriate research skills resulting in a research question that can be explored within the scope of the chosen topic; reasonably effective engagement with relevant research areas, methods and sources; good knowledge and understanding of the topic in the wider context of the relevant discipline; a reasonably effective application of source material and use of subject-specific terminology and/or concepts; consistent conclusions that are accurately analysed; reasoned argumentation often supported by evidence; research that at times evidences critical evaluation; and a clear presentation of all structural and layout elements, which further supports the reading of the essay.  Engagement with the process is generally evidenced by the reflections and key decision-making during the research process is documented.	Demonstrates evidence of research undertaken, which has led to a research question that is not necessarily expressed in a way that can be explored within the scope of the chosen topic; partially effective engagement with mostly appropriate research areas, methods and sources—however, there are some discrepancies in those processes, although these do not interfere with the planning and approach; some knowledge and understanding of the topic in the wider context of the discipline, which is mostly relevant; the attempted application of source material and appropriate terminology and/concepts; an attempted synthesis of research results with partially relevant analysis; conclusions partly supported by the evidence; discussion that is descriptive rather than analytical; attempted evaluation; satisfactory presentation of the essay, with weaknesses that do not hinder the reading of the essay; andsome structural and layout elements that are missing or are incorrectly applied.  Engagement with the process is evidenced but shows mostly factual information, with personal reflection mostly limited to procedural issues.	Demonstrates a lack of research, resulting in unsatisfactory focus and a research question that is not answerable within the scope of the chosen topic; at times engagement with appropriate research,methods and sources, but discrepancies in those processes that occasionally interfere with the planning and approach; some relevant knowledge and understanding of the topic in the wider context of the discipline, which are at times irrelevant; the attempted application of source material, but with inaccuracies in the use of, or underuse of, terminology and/or concepts; irrelevant analysis and inconsistent conclusions as a result of a descriptive discussion; a lack of evaluation; presentation of the essay that at times is illogical and hinders the reading; and structural and layout elements that are missing.  Engagement with the process is evidenced but is superficial, with personal reflections that are solely narrative and concerned with procedural elements.	Demonstrates an unclear nature of the essay; a generally unsystematic approach and resulting focused research question; limited engagement with limited research and sources; generally limited and only partially accurate knowledge and understanding of the topic in the wider context of the relevant discipline; ineffective connections in the application of source material and inaccuracies in the terminology and/or concepts used; a summarizing of results of research with inconsistent analysis; an attempted outline of an argument, but one that is generally descriptive in nature; and a layout that generally lacks or incorrectly applies several layout and structural elements.  Engagement with the process is limited, with limited factual or decision-making information andno personal reflection on the process.